Using CMS Data to Understand D-SNP Market Trends and Performance, Dual Eligible Characteristics, and State Medicaid Managed Care Programs

2018 SNP Alliance Executive Roundtable
Washington, DC
March 27, 2018

Rebecca Sweetland Lester, Researcher
Danielle Chelminsky, Research Analyst
Mathematica Policy Research

Overview

- **Enrollment in Integrated Care Plans: Current Status and Trends**

- **Using CMS Data Resources to:**

  - **Better understand Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees**
  - **Monitor Dual Eligible Special Needs Plans (D-SNPs)**
 - **Understand state Medicaid managed care programs**

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Integrated Care Resource Center

- **Partnership between Mathematica and the Center for Healthcare Strategies (CHCS)**

- **Through a contact with MMCO, ICRC provides resources and direct technical assistance related to Integrated Care**
  - **Example products:**
    - Fact Sheets and Data Analyses: [Monthly Enrollment in MMPs by Plan and by State](#)
    - Policy Analyses and E-alerts: [Spotlight: Budget Agreement Includes Provisions Related to Integrated Care and Dual Eligible Special Needs Plans](#)
    - Issue Briefs:
      - [Value-Based Payment in Nursing Facilities: Options and Lessons for States and Managed Care Plans](#)
      - [State Contracting with Medicare Advantage Dual Eligible Special Needs Plans: Issues and Options](#)
    - Study Hall Calls and Webinars: [Medicare 101 and 201: Key Issues for States](#)

      http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/

Enrollment in Integrated Care Plans: Current Status and Trends

States at the Forefront of Integration in 2017

KEY

- Integrated D-SNP*
- Financial Alignment Demonstration
- Both Financial Alignment Demonstration and Integrated D-SNPs

* These states have aligned D-SNP/MLTSS plans and/or FIDE-SNPs as of mid-2017.

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Growth in Integrated/Coordinated Care Enrollment

Integration/ Coordination Platform	Enrollment		States
	March 2011	March 2018	
Financial Alignment Initiative Demonstrations	0	382,132	9 states *Capitated: CA, IL, MA, MI, NY, OH, RI, SC, TX*
All D-SNPs[1]	1,058,386	2,176,697	41 states, DC and PR *Three quarters of enrollment in 11 states: (FL, NY, TX, PA, CA, TN, AZ, GA, AL, MA, LA)*
FIDE-SNPs	0	311,941	12 states *AZ, CA, FL, GA, ID, MA, MN, NJ, NY, SC, TN, WI*
PACE	20,302	41,427	31 states

[1]All D-SNP enrollment includes FIDE-SNP enrollment.

Sources: Integrated Care Resource Center. *Monthly Enrollment in Medicare-Medicaid Plans by Plan, March 2011 to March 2018:* http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/PDFs/MMP_Enroll_by_State_March_2018.pdf; and Centers for Medicare & Medicaid Services. *SNP Comprehensive Report*, March 2011 and 2018:   https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/MCRAdvPartDEnrolData/Special-Needs-Plan-SNP-Data.html

Current Aligned Enrollment in Integrated Plans

Plan Type	2017/2018 Aligned Enrollment[1]	States
Medicare-Medicaid Plans (MMPs)	382,132	CA, IL, MA, MI, NY, OH, RI, SC, and TX
PACE	41,427	31 states
FIDE SNPs	311,941	AZ, CA, MA, MN, ID, NJ, NY, and WI
Aligned Enrollment in Non-FIDE SNP *Integrated* D-SNP/MLTSS Plans[2]	64,687	CA, MN, TN, and TX
Aligned Enrollment in Non-FIDE SNP *Partially Integrated* D-SNP/Medicaid Plans[3]	79,178	AZ, HI, and NM

[1]Aligned enrollment refers to the number of dually eligible enrollees who are obtaining both Medicare and Medicaid services from the same plan, or from companion plans operated by the same company in the same geographic area. Enrollment data for MMPs, PACE, and FIDE SNPs are for March 2018.

[2]Enrollment is from July 2017, and does not include CA and FL data since ICRC was unable to obtain data on these states.

[3]Enrollment is from July 2017. Companion Medicaid plans do not include some Medicaid LTSS and behavioral health services.

Growth in D-SNPs and Enrollment, 2006-2017

Total # of D-SNP Plans

Total D-SNP Enrollment

Years*

*As of July of each year

New and Departing D-SNPs, 2018

Dec 2017 D-SNP Enrollment:
Total: 2,093,059

Remaining Plans, 2,080,355

Departing Plans, 12,704

11 Departing Plans in AZ, CA, IL, MI, NY, and VA

Mar 2018 D-SNP Enrollment:
Total: 2,176,697

Remaining Plans, 2,152,499

New Plans, 24,198

23 New Plans in AL, AZ, FL, GA, ID, IA, MI, MO, MS, NE, NY, NC, OK, PA, SC, and VA

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

D-SNP, FIDE SNP, MMP, and PACE Enrollment by State, March 2018

State	Integrated Care/Coordinated Care Plan				Total # of FBDEs[2] (Mar 2017)	% of FBDEs in Integrated/Coordinated Care[3]
	D-SNP[1]	FIDE SNP	MMP	PACE		
FL	252,182	48,419		1,651	391,471	77%
AL	57,472			162	86,692	66%
TN	44,159	53,861		260	152,701	64%
HI	22,134				34,947	63%
AZ	82,928	8,918			160,686	57%
TX	168,712		45,270	1,169	383,915	56%
RI	2,108		13,847	274	33,817	48%
GA	42,369	24,496			139,749	48%
LA	45,402			438	109,065	42%
OH	33,560		76,597	367	263,245	42%
NY	255,009	9,344	4,897	5,036	725,984	38%
NM	23,310			379	63,011	38%
PA	131,468			6,137	369,620	37%
DC	8,084				22,189	36%
MN	1,790	39,873			122,107	34%
SC	6,034	24,496	11,667	442	133,188	32%
CT	24,169				75,607	32%
OR	23,122			1,304	80,767	30%
AR	19,530			212	67,515	29%
WA	37,970			621	132,958	29%
MS	20,006				78,530	25%
UT	8,019				31,939	25%
MA		48,544	18,988	4,279	287,936	25%
DE	2,955			222	13,267	24%
MI	16,338		40,916	2,350	258,434	23%

[1]Not all D-SNP enrollees are in integrated arrangements, but their care must at least be coordinated with Medicaid.
[2]FBDEs includes QMB+, SLMB+ and Other FBDEs.
[3]D-SNPs in a number of states include partial dual enrollees, and therefore the percentage of full duals in integrated/coordinated care in those states is overstated.

D-SNP, FIDE SNP, MMP, and PACE Enrollment by State, March 2018

State	Integrated/Coordinated Care Plan				Total # of FBDEs	% of FBDEs in Integrated/Coordinated Care
	D-SNP	FIDE SNP	MMP	PACE		
WI	27,867	2,472		546	144,714	21%
CO	11,753			3,666	77,541	20%
NJ		34,434		907	188,341	19%
CA	117,557	13,869	115,758	5,693	1,410,140	18%
IL			54,192		313,261	17%
MO	20,371				136,868	15%
NC	32,413			1,901	246,520	14%
KY	12,156				95,331	13%
ID		3,216			27,675	12%
IN	9,918			152	91,449	11%
VA	10,946			1,395	127,650	10%
ME	4,832				52,750	9%
KS	2,466			435	39,419	7%
NE	2,321			161	35,425	7%
MD	5,541			131	86,660	7%
IA	1,331			425	66,047	3%
MT	434				17,127	3%
WV	899				46,393	2%
OK	1,389			407	93,862	2%
WY				130	7,441	2%
ND				175	11,193	2%
AK					16,593	0%
NH					21,365	0%
NV					29,687	0%
SD					12,236	0%
VT					19,973	0%
TOTAL	**1,591,024**	**311,941**	**382,132**	**41,427**	**7,635,001**	**30%**

D-SNP, FIDE SNP, MMP, and PACE Enrollment by State, March 2018

Full Benefit Dual Eligibles by Type of Service
March 2018

Notes: D-SNP total does not include FIDE SNP enrollment and does not include D-SNPs in Puerto Rico. D-SNP total includes 20 enrollees in plans with under 11 enrollees. 5 D-SNPs span across multiple states. For this table, enrollment was split evenly across states.

Sources: CMS Monthly Enrollment by Contract, March 2018: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/MCRAdvPartDEnrolData/Monthly-Enrollment-by-Contract.html
CMS SNP Comprehensive Report, March 2018: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/MCRAdvPartDEnrolData/Special-Needs-Plan-SNP-Data.html
CMS Quarterly Enrollment Updates, March 2017: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-and-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination-Office/Analytics.html

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

# I-SNP Enrollment by State, March 2018

State	Number of I-SNP Plans	Total I-SNP Enrollment
New York	12	18,374
Florida	9	5,114
Georgia	4	4,620
North Carolina	5	3,638
Connecticut	2	3,529
Colorado	3	3,208
Delaware	4	3,123
Pennsylvania	7	3,054
Arizona	4	2,679
California	3	2,642
New Jersey	4	2,524
Wisconsin	3	2,216
Ohio	5	2,028
Alabama	3	1,922
Washington	3	1,912
Virginia	7	1,729
Rhode Island	3	1,704
Indiana	2	1,524
Oregon	6	1,253
Maryland	6	1,191
Illinois	3	953
Washington, D.C.	4	915
Nevada	1	658

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

I-SNP Enrollment by State, March 2018

State	Number of I-SNP Plans	Total I-SNP Enrollment
West Virginia	1	504
Missouri	3	474
Texas	2	413
Nebraska	1	343
Oklahoma	1	214
Kentucky	1	181
South Dakota	1	178
Maine	1	161
New Hampshire	1	161
Massachusetts	2	112
North Dakota	1	91
Kansas	2	55
South Carolina	1	43
Michigan	1	18
Arkansas	1	-
TOTAL[1]	**123**	**73,457**

[1] Eleven plans spanned across multiple states. In this table, we divided the number of enrollees in those plans evenly across the states and added the plan to each state's total number of D-SNP Plans. The total excludes 15 enrollees in plans with fewer than 11 enrollees

Change in I-SNPs and Enrollment, 2008-2018

Total # of I-SNP Plans

Total I-SNP Enrollment

Years*

*As of March of each year

United and Other I-SNP Enrollment, March 2018

All Other I-SNPs,
19,450

United
HealthCare,
54,007

Note: United HealthCare I-SNP enrollment includes Care Improvement Plus, Oxford Health Plans, and Sierra Health and Life.

SOURCE: CMS SNP Comprehensive Report, October 2018. Available at: https://www.cms.gov/Research-Statistics-Data-and-Systems/Statistics-Trends-and-Reports/MCRAdvPartDEnrolData/Special-Needs-Plan-SNP-Data-Items/SNP-Comprehensive-Report-2018-03.html?DLPage=1&DLEntries=10&DLSort=1&DLSortDir=descending

Using CMS Data Resources to Better Understand Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Using Data to Profile Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees

- New ICRC TA tool: *How States Can Better Understand their Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources*

- Describes:
  - File name and location of resource
  - What it contains
  - Why it is useful
  - Description
 - Things to keep in mind
  - How to use the data

Sources: D. Chelminsky. "How States Can Better Understand their Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources." Integrated Care Resource Center, December 2017. Available at: http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/PDFs/ICRC_How_States_Can_Better_Understand_Medicare-Medicaid_Enrollees.pdf;

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Key Medicare-Medicaid Enrollment Data Resources

Data Source	Data Frequency	Data Level	Use this source to find how many dual eligibles…
Medicare-Medicaid enrollee state and county monthly enrollment snapshots	Quarterly (~9 month lag)	State and county	• Are in each eligibility category (QMB, QMB+, SLMB, SLMB+, FBDE)
Medicare-Medicaid linked enrollee analytic data source (MMLEADS)	Annual (~6 year lag)	National and state	• Have a certain chronic condition • Are using a certain Medicare/Medicaid service • Are receiving Medicare/Medicaid payments, and how much
All state/county-level profiles	Annual (~6 year lag)	National, state and county	• Are male/female, a certain age or race • Are in each Medicare/Medicaid coverage type • Are spending what amount for Medicare and Medicaid
National-and state-level trends data	Annual (~7 year lag)	National and state	• Are in each eligibility category • Are in each coverage type over time • Are using a certain service
Monthly enrollment by contract/plan/state/county	Monthly (~2 week lag)	State and county	• Are enrolled in each plan in each county
Ever-enrolled trends report	Annual (~1.5 year lag)	National	• Are in each eligibly category • Are male/female, a certain age or race • Are in each category over time

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using State and County Monthly Enrollment Snapshots: State Level

Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees by Enrollment Type
National Average, December 2016
Total: 10,561,328

Other FBDEs 17%
QMB 14%
QI 5%
SLMB+ 3%
SLMB 9%
QMB+ 52%

Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees by Enrollment Type
Alabama, December 2016
Total: 210,445

Other FBDEs 7%
QMB 32%
QI 10%
SLMB+ 2%
SLMB 17%
QMB+ 32%

Sources: D. Chelminsky. "How States Can Better Understand their Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources." Integrated Care Resource Center, December 2017. Available at: http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/PDFs/ICRC_How_States_Can_Better_Understand_Medicare-Medicaid_Enrollees.pdf; MMCO Monthly Enrollment Snapshots, December 2016: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-and-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination-Office/Analytics.html

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using State and County Monthly Enrollment Snapshots: County Level

Dual Eligibles by Eligibility Category
New York Average vs Bronx County, 2017

Sources: D. Chelminsky. "How States Can Better Understand their Medicare-Medicaid Enrollees: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources." Integrated Care Resource Center, December 2017. Available at: http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/PDFs/ICRC_How_States_Can_Better_Understand_Medicare-Medicaid_Enrollees.pdf; MMCO Monthly Enrollment Snapshots, December 2016: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-and-Medicaid-Coordination/Medicare-Medicaid-Coordination-Office/Analytics.html

Example: Using MMLEADS Data

Percent of People in FFS who used *Medicare* Durable Medical Equipment — National Average vs. Connecticut, 2012

Percent of People in FFS who used *Medicaid* Durable Medical Equipment — National Average vs. Connecticut, 2012

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using All State/County-Level Profiles: County Level

Medicare and Medicaid Spending on Full Benefit Dual Eligibles
Bronx County, 2012

- Medicaid
  - Fee for Service 35%
  - Comp Managed Care 12%
  - Medicaid Limited Managed Care >1%
  - Medicaid PCCM >1%
- Medicare
  - Fee for Service 26%
  - Managed Care 16%
  - Part D 11%

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

# *Using CMS Data Resources to Monitor Dual Eligible Special Needs Plans (D-SNPs)*

Using Data to Profile D-SNPs in Each State

- New ICRC TA tool: *How States Can Monitor Dual Eligible Special Needs Plan Performance: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources*

- Describes:

  – File name and location of resource

  – What it contains

  – Why it is useful

  – Description

  – Things to keep in mind

  – How to use the data

Key D-SNP Data Resources

Data Source	Data Frequency	Data Level	Use this source to find out…
Special Needs Plan (SNP) comprehensive report	Monthly (~2 week lag)	State, SNP	• How many dual eligibles are enrolled in each SNP
Monthly enrollment by contract/plan/state/county	Monthly (~2 week lag)	State and county, SNP	• How many dual eligibles are enrolled in each plan in each county
SNP HEDIS public use files	Annual (Previous CY)	State SNP	• How D-SNPs performed on quality measures (HEDIS)
Part C and D Medicare star ratings data	Bi-annual (1.5 year data lag)	SNP	• How D-SNPs performed on Medicare star ratings & display measures
Medical loss ratio data public use file	Annual (~4 year lag)	State	• How D-SNPs reported their PMPM revenue, payments, and medical loss ratio
Program audit results	Annual (most recent audit year)	SNP	• What audit score each D-SNP received • Which D-SNPs received corrective action reports
Ad hoc corrective action plans (CAPs)	Monthly (~ 2 week data lag)	SNP	• Which D-SNPs received corrective action plans
Past performance outlier results	Annual (~1 year lag)	SNP	• D-SNPs were labeled as outliers and had areas of poor performance
Plan-level risk scores and per-member per-month Medicare payments	Annual (~3 year lag)	State and county, SNP	• What were the D-SNPs average risk scores • What were the CMS payments for Medicare Part A and B

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using the Monthly Enrollment by Contract/Plan/State/County

UPMC (H4279) D-SNP Enrollment in Southwest Counties in PA, Aug 2017

Example: Using SNP HEDIS Measures

D-SNPs Contract Measure Examples:
Follow up after Hospitalization for Mental Illness (E0C010), 2016

Legend: ■ Rate - 7 Days ■ Rate - 30 Days

Plan-level data for an illustrative state

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using the Medicare Star Ratings

Medicare D-SNP Star Ratings Examples
SNP Care Management Star Rating (C08) vs Summary Star Rating, 2017

Legend: ■ SNP Care Management Star Rating ■ Summary Star Rating

Contract 1, Contract 2, Contract 3

Contract-level data for an illustrative state

*(Note that this example uses MA contracts that have **only** D-SNP enrollees ("clean H numbers"). The plan-level SNP Care Management Rating therefore overs the same population as the overall contract-level Summary Star Rating.)*

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Example: Using the Plan-Level Average PMPM Payment Report

D-SNPs Average Part A/B PM/PM
### Payment Examples, 2015

Plan-level data for an illustrative state

Sources: D. Chelminsky. "How States Can Monitor Dual Eligible Special Needs Plan Performance: A Guide to Using CMS Data Resources, January 2018. Available at:
http://www.integratedcareresourcecenter.com/PDFs/ICRC_How_States_Can_Monitor_DSNP_Performance%201.26.18.pdf;
Plan Payment Data, 2015: https://www.cms.gov/Medicare/Medicare-Advantage/Plan-Payment/Plan-Payment-Data.html

Using CMS Data Resources to Understand State Medicaid Managed Care Programs

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report

- **Annual Report and Excel files available at https://www.medicaid.gov/medicaid/managed-care/enrollment/index.html**

- **2011-2015 currently posted. 2016 available this spring.**

Reporting Level	Report Content
State-level	- Total Medicaid enrollment (Medicare-Medicaid & Medicaid only) (FFS or managed care) - Total enrollment in any type of managed care (dual and Medicaid-only) (e.g. MCO, BHO) - Total Medicaid-only and Dual enrollment by program type (e.g. MCO, LTSS) - Total MLTSS users, and total enrolled in MCO+MLTSS, or MLTSS-only plans
Program-level*	- Total enrollment: Medicaid-only and Duals - Populations enrolled mandatorily and voluntarily - Participating plans - Geographic regions covered - Benefits covered
Plan-level*	- Total enrollment: Medicaid-only and Duals - Geographic regions covered - Program name & type - Plan name

*Note: Financial alignment demonstrations information is not included in program-level or plan level data.

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report

State Counts of Users* of Managed Long-Term Services and Supports (MLTSS), as of July 1, 2015

Legend:
- No MLTSS program as of July 2015 (34 States, including D.C. and PR)
- 1-5,000 (1 State)
- 5,001-20,000 (5 States*)
- 20,001-50,000 (7 States)
- 50,001+ (5 States)

U.S. Total (including DE and RI data)*: 1,024,334
U.S. Total (excluding DE and RI data): 993,265

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

*This map presents the number of MLTSS users, not enrollees (which could include non-users of LTSS, most of whom are dual eligibles). Two states (Delaware and Rhode Island) were not able to report data on MLTSS users and instead report enrollment counts. Based on the number of MLTSS users each state reported in 2014, and the overall increase in enrollment in comprehensive managed care reported since 2014, we have assumed that the number of MLTSS users in 2015 in each state is between 5,001-20,000. Therefore, both states are shown on the map as having between 5,001-20,000 MLTSS users and counted in the total number of states with MLTSS users within this range. This assumption, however, may not be accurate; readers should interpret this map with caution.

Source: Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment and Program Characteristics, 2015.

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report

Table 6. Medicaid Managed Long Term Services and Supports (MLTSS) Program Users or Enrollees, as of July 1, 2015[1,2]

State or Territory	Total Medicaid Enrollment in Any Type of Managed Care	Comprehesnive Managed Care Incl. LTSS		Managed LTSS (MLTSS) Only	
		Number of Enrollees Using LTSS[2,3,4]	Percent of Total[3]	Number of Enrollees Using LTSS[4]	Percent of Total
AZ	1,471,809	54,631	3.7%	-	-
AR	613,839	-	-	-	-
CA	9,727,076	272,648	2.8%	-	-
CO	1,214,657	-	-	-	-
CT	-	-	-	-	-
DE	203,212	12,955	6.4%	-	-
DC	192,086	-	-	-	-
FL	3,007,086	-	-	87,591	2.9%
GA	1,371,866	-	-	-	-
HI	336,764	8,663	2.6%	-	-
ID	283,355	-	0.0%	-	-
IL	2,909,371	34,202	1.2%	-	-

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report

Table 5. Enrollment by Program and Plan, as of 2015[1]

St	Program Name (Type)	Plan Name	Geographic Region (state-defined areas, counties, or zip codes)	Medicaid-Only Enroll.	Dual Enrol	Total Enrol
AL	Patient 1st (Primary Care Case Management (PCCM))	Patient 1st	Statewide	644,384	0	644,384
AL	Patient 1st (Primary Care Case Management (PCCM))	Health Homes	Statewide	264,051	0	264,051
AL	Maternity Care Program (Other Prepaid Health Plan (PHP) (limited benefits))	Maternity Program	Statewide	16,093	0	16,093
AZ	Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (Comprehensive MCO + MLTSS)	United Healthcare Plan	Yuma, La Paz, Apache, Coconino, Mohave, Navajo, Yavapai, Pima, Santa Cruz, Maricopa, Cochise, Graham, Greenlee	357,414	37,750	395,164
AZ	Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (Comprehensive MCO + MLTSS)	Bridgeway Health Solution MLTSS	Gila, Pinal, Cochise, Graham, Grelee, Maricopa	775	4,669	5,444
AZ	Arizona Health Care Cost Containment System (Comprehensive MCO + MLTSS)	Care 1st Health Plan	Pima, Santa Cruz, Maricopa	95,883	5,297	101,180
CA	County Organized Health Systems (COHS) Model (Comprehensive MCO)	CenCal/Santa Barbara	Santa Barbara	99,530	11,341	110,871
CA	Regional Model (Comprehensive MCO)	Anthem Blue Cross Partnership Plan/Alpine	Alpine	141	9	150
CA	Family Mosaic Project/San Francisco (Behavioral Health Organization (BHO) only (PIHP and/or PAHP))	Family Mosaic Project/San Francisco	San Francisco	29	0	29
CA	Dental Managed Care-Sacramento (Dental only (PAHP))	Access Dental Plan-Sacramento (Plan	Sacramento	126,452	4,648	131,100

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report – State Tables

Tennessee Managed Care Program Features, as of 2015

Features	TennCare II	Program of All-Inclusive Care for the Elderly
Program type	Comprehensive MCO + MLTSS	Program of All-inclusive Care for the Elderly (PACE)
Statewide or region-specific?	Statewide	Statewide
Federal operating authority	1115(a) (Medicaid demonstration waivers)	PACE
Waiver expiration date (if applicable)	08/31/2016	
If the program ended in 2015, indicate the end date		
Populations enrolled: Low-income adults not covered under ACA Section VIII (excludes pregnant women and people with disabilities)	Mandatory	
Populations enrolled: Low-income adults covered under ACA Section VIII (excludes pregnant women and people with disabilities)		
Populations enrolled: Aged, Blind or Disabled Children or Adults	Mandatory	Voluntary
Populations enrolled: Non-Disabled Children (excludes children in foster care or receiving adoption assistance)	Mandatory	
Populations enrolled: Individuals receiving Limited Benefits (excludes partial duals)		
Populations enrolled: Full Duals	Mandatory	Voluntary
Populations enrolled: Partial Duals		
Populations enrolled: Children with Special Health Care Needs	Mandatory	
Populations enrolled: Native American/Alaskan Natives	Exempt	Exempt
Populations enrolled: Foster Care and Adoption Assistance Children	Mandatory	
Populations enrolled: Enrollment choice period	Pre-assigned	N/A

MATHEMATICA
Policy Research

Medicaid Managed Care Enrollment & Program Characteristics Report – State Tables

Tennessee Managed Care Program Features, as of 2015

Features	TennCare II	PACE
Benefits Covered		
Benefits covered: Inpatient hospital physical health	X	X
Benefits covered: Inpatient hospital behavioral health (MH and/or SUD)	X	X
Benefits covered: Outpatient hospital physical health	X	X
Benefits covered: Outpatient hospital behavioral health (MH and/or SUD)	X	X
Benefits covered: Partial hospitalization	X	X
Benefits covered: Physician	X	X
Benefits covered: Nurse practitioner	X	X
Benefits covered: Rural health clinics and FQHCs	X	
Benefits covered: Clinic services	X	X
Benefits covered: Lab and x-ray	X	X
Benefits covered: Dental services (medical/surgical)	X	X
Benefits covered: Dental (preventative or corrective)		X
Benefits covered: Home health agency services	X	X
Benefits covered: Personal care (state plan option)	X	X
Benefits covered: HCBS waiver services	X	X
Benefits covered: Private duty nursing	X	X
Benefits covered: ICF-IDD		
Benefits covered: Nursing facility services	X	X

Contact Information

Rebecca Lester
rlester@mathematica-mpr.com

Danielle Chelminsky
dchelminsky@mathematica-mpr.com