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Special Needs Plans

- Total number of SNPs in November 2007 – 477
  - Dual eligible – 320
  - Chronic or disabling condition – 73
  - Institutional – 84

- Total SNP enrollment in November 2007 – 1,080,593
  - Dual eligible – 751,784
  - Chronic or disabling condition – 183,881
  - Institutional – 144,928

- Total SNPs approved for 2008 – 775
  - Dual eligible – 441
  - Chronic or disabling condition – 245
  - Institutional – 89

SOURCE: SNP Comprehensive Reports on CMS web site
Growth in SNP Enrollment

- 532,507 in July 2006, 1,080,593 in November 2007

- Major sources of current SNP enrollment
  - Over 240,000 enrollees in Puerto Rico
  - Over 200,000 from “passive enrollment” of dual eligibles from Medicaid managed care plans into SNPs in 2005-2006
  - Over 100,000 from conversion of five existing Social HMO demonstrations in CA and NY to SNPs in 2007
  - Over 50,000 from conversion of existing Kaiser plans in CA, CO, and GA to SNPs in 2007

- Apart from PR, “active choices” by beneficiaries to enroll in SNPs appear to account for a little over half of current enrollment
Growth in Chronic Condition SNPs

- In 2008, chronic condition SNPs will be operating in all but six states.
- Will be offered by approximately 40 companies.
- United will have 66 chronic condition SNPs in 34 states and DC.
- Humana will have 30 in 24 states.
- Care Improvement Plus will have 28 in 6 states.
- Some specialize in one condition (diabetes, ESRD, HIV, pulmonary disease, hypercholesteremia) while others include multiple conditions.
Concentration of SNP Enrollment

- 82% of total SNP enrollment in November 2007 was in 9 states and Puerto Rico
  - PR, CA, PA, NY, FL, TX, AZ, MN, TN, and AL
    - Except for AL, all these states have included dual eligibles in Medicaid managed care, now contract with SNPs, or both

- 66% of November 2007 enrollment was in 13 companies
  - Outside of companies in Puerto Rico, largest enrollment is in United, SCAN, Care Improvement Plus, Kaiser, Managed Health, Inc., HealthSpring, Keystone, Gateway, WellCare, and Humana
    - All these companies have experience in Medicare, Medicaid, or both
Low Enrollment in Many SNPs

- Out of 477 SNPs in November 2007:
  - 249 (52%) had fewer than 500 enrollees
  - 129 (27%) had fewer than 100
  - 62 (13%) had fewer than 10

- What will happen to these low-enrollment SNPs and their enrollees if enrollment does not increase?
  - Many SNPs are part of larger MA plans, which may be able to offer other options to SNP enrollees
SNPs In The Broader MA Context

- SNP enrollment is 11 percent of total MA enrollment
  - MA enrollment is 20 percent of total Medicare enrollment

- Almost half of total MA contracts include one or more SNP plans
  - Almost three-fourths of total SNP enrollment is in contracts that include non-SNP MA products
  - Remainder of SNP enrollment is in SNP-only contracts
  - Chronic condition SNPs have somewhat more enrollment in SNP-only contracts, but this may change in 2008 with entry of United and Humana
SNP Payment

- SNPs are paid the same way as other MA plans for enrollees with comparable conditions.

- Relationship of MA payments to FFS expenditures:
  - SNPs (excluding Puerto Rico): 111%
  - HMOs: 110%
  - Local PPOs: 117%
  - Regional PPOs: 110%
  - PFFS: 119%

SOURCE: MedPAC, November 2007
SNPs and States

- Why would states want to contract with SNPs?
  - Improve care coordination for dual eligibles
  - Achieve administrative efficiencies
  - Reduce Medicare-Medicaid cost shifting
  - Save state money
  - Move toward fuller integration

- Which states currently contract with SNPs?
  - AZ, CA, KY, MA, MN, NY, OR, TX, UT, WA, WI
  - Considerable variation in how extensive contracts are

- For more detail on state contracting with SNPs, see October 2006 Center for Health Care Strategies primer for states at: http://www.chcs.org/usr_doc/Medicare_Advantage_State_Primer.pdf
SNP Monitoring and Reporting

- How to tell whether SNPs are special?
- Require SNP-specific quality and performance reporting
  - HEDIS, CAHPS, and other measures are currently reported only at the contract level
  - Sample sizes in low-enrollment SNPs may be too small
  - CMS is working with NCQA to develop additional SNP-specific quality and performance measures
- Report “model of care” information from SNP applications, and monitor SNP implementation of these models
- Use Part D Rx data to measure Rx use and cost in SNPs, other MA plans, and PDPs
  - Compare to prior Rx use by duals under Medicaid
Dual Eligible SNPs

**Issues for plans**
- How to get enrollment?
  - Over 90% of dual eligibles are auto-enrolled in stand-alone PDPs
  - Duals are hard to identify and market to
  - Large share of current enrollment is rollover from existing Medicaid and MA plans
- How to make plan “special”?
  - Add extra benefits or services?
  - Coordinate with Medicaid?

**Issues for beneficiaries**
- How to know up-front whether plan is special?
- Do provider networks, benefits, and services meet their needs?
- Is option to disenroll at any time a sufficient protection for beneficiaries?
Chronic Condition SNPs

- **Issues for plans**
  - How to get enrollment?
  - Will recorded diagnoses support risk scores and payment needed to cover costs?
  - How to change care patterns to improve quality and reduce costs?
  - Major national MA plans (United and Humana) are offering many new chronic condition SNPs in 2008

- **Issues for beneficiaries**
  - What do chronic condition SNPs add to traditional Medicare or regular MA-PD plans?
  - Are needed specialty providers in the network?
  - Non-duals (about half of enrollees) must wait up to a year to disenroll
Institutional SNPs

**Issues for plans**
- **How to get enrollment?**
  - Most current enrollment is in Evercare and converted Social HMO demos
  - SNPs must market to individual facilities, then individual residents
- **How to add value?**
  - Savings from reduced hospitalizations can fund improvements in nursing facility care (on-site nurse practitioners, e.g.)
- **How to work with nursing facilities?**

**Issues for beneficiaries**
- **How to choose between SNPs and traditional Medicare?**
- **How to tell if SNP is adding value?**
- Many residents have cognitive impairments
Conclusions

- All three SNP types have potential to add value
  - Since SNPs are paid the same as other MA-PD plans, added value must be financed by greater efficiencies or better focus (assuming reasonably accurate risk adjustment)

- It is hard to tell from currently available information whether SNPs are in fact adding value

- Risks to beneficiaries may be mitigated by option to disenroll
  - But non-dual enrollees can disenroll only once a year
  - Changing plans can also be time-consuming and burdensome, and new plan may not be better